WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	Ang	gelique	Marquez-Clark	Case Number:	<u>13-1955M</u>	
	ordance v re establ		Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 (Check one or both, as applicable.)	142(f), a detention hearing has	been submitted. I conclude that the following	
X	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
X		eponderathis case		dant is a serious flight risk and re	equire the detention of the defendant pending	
			PAR	T I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)		- ',',','	dant has been convicted of a (fec mstance giving rise to federal ju	deral offense)(state or local offense that would irisdiction had existed) that is	
			a crime of violence as define	d in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the max	imum sentence is life imprisonn	nent or death.	
			an offense for which a maxim	num term of imprisonment of ter	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed described in 18 U.S.C. § 314	after the defendant had been cc 2(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable st	onvicted of two or more prior federal offenses ate or local offenses.	
				fined in section 921), or any oth	possession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure to	
	(2)	18 U.S pendin	.C. § 3142(e)(2)(B): The offen g trial for a federal, state or loc	se described in finding 1 was co al offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release	
	(3)	18 U.S of the o	.C. § 3142(e)(2)(C): A period of defendant from imprisonment)	of not more than five years has e for the offense described in find	elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release ling 1.	
	(4)	reason	gs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establis ably assure the safety of (an)o d this presumption.	n a rebuttable presumption that ther person(s) and the commu-	no condition or combination of conditions will nity. I further find that the defendant has not	
				Alternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.S	.C. § 3142(e)(3): There is prol	pable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of	imprisonment of ten years or n	nore is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 95	6(a), or 2332(b).		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1594	for which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed.	
			an offense involving a minor	victim under section	2	
	(2)	The de	efendant has not rebutted the ons will reasonably assure the	presumption established by finappearance of the defendant a	nding 1 that no condition or combination of s required and the safety of the community.	
				Alternative Findings		

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:13-cr-01199-ROS Document 6 Filed 08/08/13 Page 2 of 3

(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
(4)	
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
	Defendant may undertake criminal activity and/or abuse drugs if released.
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
THC G	cicidant does not dispute the information contained in the Frethal Services Report, except.

 $^{^3}$ The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-cr-01199-ROS Document 6 Filed 08/08/13 Page 3 of 3

	In addition:
	The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.
	PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal. of the U	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ctions facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court inited States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the lant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. I	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date ce of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial s sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and ate the potential third party custodian.
DATE	August 8, 2013 JOHN A. BUTTRICK United States Magistrate Judge

United States Magistrate Judge